

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,184	02/13/2002	William M. Traut	66867-001-8	5310	
75	90 02/24/2003				
Dykema Gossett, PLLC Suite 300 West 1300 I Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			PHAM, MINH	I CHAU THI	
Washington, DO	20005-3306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1			1724	1724	
,			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		40,		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No. Applicant(s) 6/073,184 WILLIAM TRANT ETA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner PHAN	Group Art Unit		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet be	neath th correspondence address-		
P riod for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory mini ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
☐ R sponsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ This action is FINAL.		•		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		ecution as to the merits is closed in		
Disposition of Claims				
D-Claim(s) 1-19	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
Q-Claim(s) - (9	is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election			
Application Papers		requirement		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.		
☐ Th drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner			
☐ Th specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	,			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		D		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume				
in this national stage application from the Internation		a))		
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I	No(s)	t rview Summary, PTO-413		
Notice of R ference(s) Cited, PTO–892	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No. ______

□ Other_____

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craft et al (6,015,444; Abstract; 12, 16, 18, 20, 22, 60, 64, 80, 90, 97 & 98 in Fig. 4; col. 3, lines 42-65; col. 4, line 48 through col. 5, line 29).

Craft et al disclose a venting system including a conduit configured to convey gas therefrom comprising a leg configured to conceal a portion of, and convey gas from, the conduit, a leg closely received the conduit wherein the leg defines a passage configured to receive the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

conduit and wherein the conduit has an outlet that defines a first size and the passage has an inlet that defines a second size that is different from the first size and wherein the conduit is misaligned relative to the passage. Craft et al further disclose the vent comprising a filter for filtering gas conveyed through the passage. Craft et al also disclose a method of concealing a conduit configured gas from a venting system comprising the steps of sizing the conduit then mounting on the conduit a leg configured to convey gas from the conduit, interposing a coupling between the conduit and the passage and disposing a filter in the passage. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a venting system as taught by Craft et al to provide a venting breather for a system without allowing easy entry of external contaminants into the system.

3. Claims 8-12 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craft et al (6,015,444; Abstract; 12, 16, 18, 20, 22, 60, 64, 80, 90, 97 & 98 in Fig. 4; col. 3, lines 42-65; col. 4, line 48 through col. 5, line 29), as applied supra to paragraph 2 above, in view of Smith (6,237,279 B1; Abstract; 38 in Fig. 4; col. 2, lines 20-29 and line 61 through col. 3, line 12).

Claims 8-12 and 16-19 call for a fixture mounted on the leg and the fixture can be a bird bath basin, a gazing ball, a statue, a sun dial, a garden ornament and combinations thereof. Smith discloses a fixture mounted on the vent as a statue in the shape of an animal which is strictly for decorative purpose. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a fixture as taught by Smith in the venting system of Craft

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

et al since the fixture is served as a landscaping device adapted to fit over the septic system covers and vents and look fully natural to an unsuspecting observer by blending into the landscaping.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Vross et al (6,022,389) disclose a system for removal of noxious fumes.
 - Dietzler (4,596,491) discloses an internally reinforced extruded plastic pipe.
 - Dietzler (4,650,367) discloses an internally reinforced extruded plastic pipe.
 - Bjorn (5,733,271) discloses a valve device for absorption of the gas components.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (except Wednesday) from 7:15 a.m. to 5:45 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Simmons, can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 (non-finals) or (703) 872-9311 (after-finals).

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Minh-Chau Pham

Patent Examiner

February 20, 2003